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#### Abstract

The ligand-regulated nuclear receptor peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor $\beta / \delta(\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta)$ is a potential pharmacological target due to its role in disease-related biological processes. We used TR-FRET-based competitive ligand binding and coregulator interaction assays to screen 2693 compounds of the Open Chemical Repository of the NCI/NIH Developmental Therapeutics Program for inhibitory PPAR $\beta / \delta$ ligands. One compound, (Z)-3-(4-dimethylamino-phenyl)-2-phenyl-acrylonitrile,  was used for a systematic SAR study. This led to the design of derivative 37, (Z)-2-(2-bromophenyl)-3-\{[4-(1-methyl-piperazine)amino]phenyl\}acrylonitrile (DG172), a novel PPAR $\beta / \delta$-selective ligand showing high binding affinity $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=27 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and potent inverse agonistic properties. 37 selectively inhibited the agonistinduced activity of $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$, enhanced transcriptional corepressor recruitment, and down-regulated transcription of the $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$ target gene Angptl4 in mouse myoblasts $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=9.5 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. Importantly, 37 was bioavailable after oral application to mice with peak plasma levels in the concentration range of its maximal inhibitory potency, suggesting that 37 will be an invaluable tool to elucidate the functions and therapeutic potential of $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$.


## INTRODUCTION

Members of the class II subset of nuclear receptors, including the thyroid hormone receptor, the retinoic acid receptor, and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs), can actively repress target genes in the absence of ligand binding but activate the same genes if bound by an agonistic ligand. ${ }^{1}$ These activities are linked to the induction of distinct local chromatin structures depending on the presence or absence of an agonistic ligand. The three $\operatorname{PPAR}$ subtypes $(\operatorname{PPAR} \alpha, \operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$, and $\operatorname{PPAR} \gamma$ ) regulate their target genes through binding to specific DNA elements (PPREs) as obligatory heterodimers with the retinoid X receptor. Certain lipids, fatty acid metabolites, and subtypeselective synthetic ligands modulate their transcriptional activity, ${ }^{2-4}$ suggesting that PPARs act as sensors for both endogenous and exogenous stimuli, which impinge not only on intermediary metabolism but also on inflammatory pathways. ${ }^{5}$ In addition to these functions, PPARs figure in development, wound healing, cell differentiation, proliferation, and apoptosis. ${ }^{6-8}$

PPRE-bound $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$ complexes have functions in both transcriptional repression and transcriptional activation. Agonistic ligands induce a conformational change in PPARs that favors the association with coactivators and the dissociation of corepressors. ${ }^{9}$ Many PPAR-interacting coregulators have been described, including histone acetyl transferases (HATs) and HAT-recruiting coregulators, histone deacetylases (HDACs)
and HDAC recruiting factors, protein arginine methyl transferases, and factors with chromatin remodeling functions. While the role of histone acetylation in PPAR-mediated transcriptional activation is well established, the exact role of other enzymatic modifications and coregulators remains unclear, in particular for the $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$ subtype. The mechanisms of $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$-mediated repression by PPRE-bound unliganded receptors are even less understood. A number of corepressors have been identified, such as class I HDACs, NCoR/SMRT, and SHARP, ${ }^{10}$ but their precise function in the regulation of specific target genes involving the ordered assembly and disassembly of multiprotein complexes is not known. The complexity of $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$-mediated transcriptional regulation is further complicated by the fact that distinct regulatory mechanisms govern the expression of different sets of target genes. ${ }^{11}$ Thus, repression appears to represent the major mode of $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$-mediated transcriptional regulation, and only a subset of target genes is subject to an agonist-mediated switch from active repression to activation. Finally, PPARs can also regulate genes without making direct DNA contacts by directly interacting with specific transcription factors, as exemplified by the repression of BCL-6 by $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta{ }^{12}$
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Because of these complexities, the correlation of biological functions and transcriptional pathways regulated by $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$ is difficult. This is exemplified by the genetic disruption of Ppard genes, which can have opposite effects of individual $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$ target genes, depending on their mode of transcriptional regulation, which in turn hampers the assessment of $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$ as a potential target for pharmacological inhibition. While potent synthetic agonists that are bioavailable, selective for $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$, and bind reversibly are available, inhibitory ligands for $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$ fulfilling these criteria have not been described to date. Both 2-(2-methyl-4-((4-methyl-2-(naphthalen-1-yl)thiazol5 -yl)methylthio) phenoxy) acetic acid (SR13904) ${ }^{13}$ and 4-chloroN -(2-((5-trifluoromethyl-2-pyridyl)sulfonyl-)ethyl)benzamide (GSK3787) ${ }^{14,15}$ are not specific for PPAR $\beta / \delta$, and GSK3787 binds PPAR $\beta / \delta$ irreversibly, which is pharmacologically undesirable. 3-(((2-Methoxy-4-(phenylamino)phenyl)amino)sulfonyl)-2-thiophenecarboxylate $(\text { GSK0660 })^{16}$ is PPAR $\beta / \delta$ subtype-specific but is not bioavailable. This also applies to methyl 3-(N-(4-(hexylamino)-2-methoxyphenyl)sulfamoyl)thiophene-2-carboxylate (ST247), a recently developed GSK0660 derivative with greatly improved affinity. ${ }^{17,18}$ These ligands are not only competitive antagonists but exert their inhibitory function as inverse agonists, as indicated by their inhibitory effect on the basal expression of PPAR $\beta / \delta$ target genes and an increased recruitment of transcriptional corepressors. ${ }^{15-17}$ Finally, a biphenylcarboxylic acid-based antagonist has been described, but its in vivo performance has not been addressed. ${ }^{19}$

In light of the lack of inhibitory $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$ ligands suitable for in vivo applications, we have searched for novel chemical structures that could serve as leads for the development of improved inverse agonists. Toward this end, we screened a chemical compound library and identified several stilbene-based or -related inhibitory $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$ ligands. One of these compounds was chosen for further development and the establishment of structureactivity relationships. This finally yielded a compound with the desired properties, including high affinity, specificity, and bioavailability after oral application.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Screening for Inhibitory PPAR $\beta / \delta$ Ligands. A TR-FRETbased competitive ligand-binding assay was used to screen 2693 compounds of the Open Chemical Repository of the NCI/NIH Developmental Therapeutics Program for PPAR $\beta / \delta$ ligands. In this assay, the terbium-labeled $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$ LBD interacts with the fluorescent PPAR ligand Fluormone Pan-PPAR Green, which produces FRET from terbium ( 495 nm ) to Pan-PPAR Green ( 520 nm ). Displacement of the fluorescent ligand by an unlabeled test compound results in a quantifiable attenuation of FRET. Out of 191 identified compounds, 10 disrupted the interaction of the $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$ LBD with a coactivator peptide in a TR-FRET-based assay (Supporting Information Table S1). Four of these compounds possess a stilbene-based or -related core structure. In this assay, interaction of the $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta \mathrm{LBD}$ (indirectly labeled by terbium) with the fluorescein-labeled coactivator peptide C33 is determined. The data therefore indicates that these 10 compounds act as inhibitory ligands. Eight of these ligands were also able to trigger the association with the SMRT-ID2 peptide, derived from the interaction domain 2 of the corepressor SMRT, which qualifies these compounds as inverse agonists. Two of these ligands, NSC667251 and compound 1 (NSC636948), also showed efficacy in cell-based assays, i.e., repression of agonist-induced transcription in a luciferase reporter assay and repression of the endogenous $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$ target
gene ANGPTL4 (Supporting Information Table S1). Compound 1, which is ( $Z$ )-3-[4-(dimethylamino)phenyl]-2-phenylacrylnitrile, was used as a lead structure for further development, as described in detail below.

Among the eight compounds identified as inverse agonists is the clinically important drug (Z)-2-[4-(1,2-diphenylbut-1-enyl)-phenoxy]-N,N-dimethylethanamine (tamoxifen) (Supporting Information Table S1). However, in spite of efficient corepressor recruitment in vitro, no activity was detectable in the cell-based assays. The same observations were made with three metabolites of tamoxifen, i.e., $4-\mathrm{OH}$-tamoxifen, N -desmethyl-tamoxifen, and endoxifen (Supporting Information Table S2). Because these compounds are able to modulate estrogen receptor-driven gene expression in intact cells, their failure to affect $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$ activity cannot be attributed to a lack of cellular uptake. It is possible that the subcellular compartmentalization of tamoxifen and its metabolites is a limiting step restricting the accessibility of target proteins. We also analyzed other commercially available stilbenes, including the pharmacologically relevant compounds resveratrol and diethylstilbestrol, but did not observe any significant activities (Supporting Information Table S2). These observations show that binding to $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$ is not a general property of stilbenes.

Optimization of the Screening Hit 1. 1 was chosen as starting point for optimization (Figure 1). We first turned our


Figure 1. Strategy for optimization of the initial screening hit 1.
attention toward the central acrylonitrile moiety. However, modification at this position, e.g., by hydrogenation 2 , removal 3 or alteration of the position of the nitrile functionality 4 , or elongation leading to the 1,3-butadiensystem 5 resulted in a complete loss of activity (Supporting Information Figure S1). Therefore, the acrylonitrile moiety seems to be crucial for activity. We then examined the effect of the para-dimethylamino-substituent present in 1. Removal (6) or replacement by a variety of either electron-withdrawing or electron-donating functional groups (7-12) again led to a significant drop in affinity. The only exception turned out to be $\mathbf{1 3}$ bearing a primary amino functionality in para-position, indicating that the existence of an electron-related push-pull system is essential for activity (Supporting Information Figure S1). Consequently, introduction of a dimethylaminomethylene substituent in para-position 14 (Figure 2) and thus disruption of the conjugated push-pull system also diminished the binding affinity toward the $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta /$ $\delta$-LDB significantly. Because the para-dimethylamino derivative 1 possessed a higher binding affinity than the unsubstituted para-amino-representative 13, we focused our attention on the substitution pattern of this essential amino group to achieve a further increase in binding affinity (Figure 2). Besides tertiary amines of varying ring sizes, such as in pyrrolidine- (15),



Figure 2. Activity of 1 and the indicated derivatives as $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$ ligands determined in vitro by competitive ligand binding assay. Displacement of a fluorescent PPAR ligand (Fluormone Pan-PPAR Green) from recombinant GST-PPAR $\beta / \delta$ by the indicated compounds was determined by TR-FRET. Each compound was tested at a concentration of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Results are expressed as the ratio of fluorescence intensity at 520 nm (fluorescein emission excitated by terbium emission) and 495 nm (terbium emission). All data points represent averages of triplicates $( \pm \mathrm{SD}) . * * *, * *$, and $*$ : significant difference to compound 1 by $t$ test ( $P<0.001, P<0.01$, and $P<0.05$, respectively).


Figure 3. Activity of compound 1 and the indicated derivatives as $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$ ligands. All experimental details were as in Figure 2.
piperidine- (16), or azepane- (17) substituted structures present, we also tested two secondary amines $(18,19)$.

Although the competitive TR-FRET assay showed only slight differences between these compounds, the piperidine analogue gave the best results in a cell-based luciferase reporter assay
(data not shown). Hence, further compounds bearing sixmembered heterocycles were synthesized. Introduction of a 4-methylpiperidino (20), a morpholino (21), and a piperazino (22) moiety, respectively, led to a significant gain in affinity. The best compound within this series was found to be 23
equipped with a 4 -methylpiperazino substituent. The two secondary amines, the aniline- (19) as well as the cyclohexylaminederivative (18), also possessed a higher binding affinity compared to 1 but could still not compete with 23.

We then turned our attention to the second aromatic portion within this compound class (Figure 3). The initial screening hit $\mathbf{1}$ was likewise used as reference. However, any tested substituent introduced in para-position of this phenyl substituent led to a decrease in binding affinity, indicating that there might only be limited space available within the respective binding pocket (24-27). On the contrary, introducing a chlorine substituent in meta-position 28 gave a significant improvement in binding affinity. This effect was even more pronounced for this substituent in ortho-position as in compound 29 (DG138). Iodine as ortho-substituent 30 performed equally well while compound 31, equipped with a bromine in this position, turned out to be the most potent ligand within this series. Introduction of other substituents with a stronger -I-effect such as 32,33, and 34 only led to a slight increase in comparison to 1 or even resulted in a decrease in binding affinity when strong electron withdrawing groups $(35,36)$ were introduced.

Combination of the substitution patterns of the most active compounds of both series, i.e., halogenation in the ortho-position and the introduction of a 4-methylpiperazine, finally led to derivative 37 (DG172) (see Scheme 1), analyzed in detail below.

## Scheme 1. Synthesis of $37^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMSO, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $78 \%$ (b) 2bromophenylacetonitrile, pyrrolidine, $\mathrm{MeOH}, 6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 79 \%$.

The compounds described above are easily accessible via a Knoevenagel condensation, which exclusively yield the ( $Z$ )-isomers (for example, ${ }^{3} J_{(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C})}=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ for 1 ), employing the corresponding aldehydes and phenylacetonitriles under basic conditions. For the preparation of several of the amino-derivatives, 4-bromophenylaldehyde was employed in the Knoevenagel reaction, followed by a Buchwald-Hartwig reaction ${ }^{20-22}$ to introduce the respective amino substituent. In case of 37,4 -fluorobenzaldehyde 38 was first reacted with 4 -methylpiperazine 39 to 40 , followed by a knoevenagel condensation employing 2-bromophenylacetonitrile, as outlined in Scheme 1.

Binding Affinities and Inhibitory Properties of 29 and 37 in vitro. We next analyzed 37 in further detail with respect to its binding affinity, inhibitory properties, and specificity. First, 37 was compared to both 29 (harboring the ortho-halogenation but lacking the 4-methylpiperazine) and its parent molecule 1 in a competitive ligand binding assay. The data in Figure 4A shows that 29 possesses a significantly enhanced affinity compared to $\mathbf{1}$ and performed similarly as a published reference compound, GSK0660. As expected, 37 was the most potent compound with


Figure 4. In vitro binding and interaction properties of compound 1 and its derivatives 29 and 37. (A) FRET-based competitive ligand binding assay as in Figure 2. GSK0660 is included for comparison. *Measurement of 1 at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ was not possible due to a lack of solubility. (B) Comparison of 29- and 37 -induced binding of a corepressor-derived peptide to the $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta \mathrm{LBD}$. Interaction of SMRT-ID2 peptide (fluorescein labeled) and recombinant GST-PPAR $\beta / \delta$ (labeled by a terbium-coupled anti-GST antibody) was measured by TR-FRET. In both panels, results are expressed as the ratio of fluorescence intensity at 520 nm (fluorescein emission excitated by terbium emission) and 495 nm (terbium emission). All data points represent averages of triplicates $( \pm \mathrm{SD}) . * * *, * *$, and $*$ : significant difference by $t$ test compared to untreated sample ( $P<0.001, P<0.01$, and $P<0.05$, respectively).
an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of 26.9 nM , compared to $\sim 180 \mathrm{nM}$ for 29 and $>300 \mathrm{nM}$ for GSK0660 (values are averages from three independent experiments each analyzing five different concentrations as triplicates). The latter two values cannot be accurately determined due to a lack of solubility at high concentrations.

To evaluate the inhibitory properties of 29 and 37 , we investigated the effect of these compounds on the interaction of $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$ with the synthetic corepressor peptide SMRT-ID 2 by TR-FRET. The data obtained by this assay (Figure 4B) show a clearly enhanced interaction for 37 compared to 29 and thus closely mirror the results obtained by the competitive binding assay (Figure 4A). The data also confirm both ligands as inverse agonists.

Specificity for PPAR $\boldsymbol{\beta} / \boldsymbol{\delta}$. The PPAR subtype specificity of 29 and 37 was addressed by a competitive TR-FRET assay. The data in Figure 5 show that at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ both compounds selectively competed for binding to $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$. Competition for binding to $\operatorname{PPAR} \alpha$ or $\operatorname{PPAR} \gamma$ was extremely low or undetectable. In contrast, the $\operatorname{PPAR} \alpha$ agonist GW7647, the $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$ agonist GW501516, and the PPAR $\gamma$ agonist GW1929 strongly interacted with the


Figure 5. PPAR subtype binding specificity. Competition of 29 (A) or 37 (B) with Fluormone Pan-PPAR Green for binding to PPAR $\alpha$, PPAR $\beta / \delta$, and PPAR $\gamma$ compared to the $\operatorname{PPAR} \alpha$ agonist GW7647 (top), the PPAR $\beta / \delta$ agonist L165,041 (middle), the PPAR $\gamma$ agonist GW1929 (bottom), or solvent (DMSO) only. Experimental details are described in Figure 4.
respective PPAR subtype (Figure 5), thus confirming the validity of the assay.

We next analyzed the effect of both compounds (and of GSK0660 for comparison) on the agonist-induced transcriptional activity of $\operatorname{PPAR} \alpha, \operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$, and $\operatorname{PPAR} \gamma$ in a cell-based assay. As shown in Figure 6, treatment with subtype-selective agonists resulted in a $3-7.5$-fold activation of the respective PPAR subtype in luciferase reporter assays. Whereas 29 and 37 had no significant effect on $\operatorname{PPAR} \alpha$ - or $\operatorname{PPAR} \gamma$-driven transcription, they both efficiently antagonized ligand activation of $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$, which is consistent with the results of the in vitro ligand-binding assay described above.

Inhibition of Endogenous PPAR $\beta / \delta$ Target Gene Expression. The inverse agonistic properties of 29 and 37 were tested in an endogenous cellular context by investigating their effect on the established PPAR $\beta / \delta$ target gene Angptl4. ${ }^{23,24}$ Toward this end, we performed titration experiments to determine the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values for 29 and 37 in C2C12 mouse myoblasts (Figure 7A). The parent compound 1 and GSK0660 were included in this study for comparison. This analysis clearly revealed the superior effect of 37 $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=9.5 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ compared to the other compounds, which showed $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $52 \mathrm{nM}(29),>500 \mathrm{nM}(1)$, and 48 nM (GSK0660), respectively (values are averages from three independent experiments each analyzing six different concentrations as triplicates). Because the tested compounds had no detectable effect on $\operatorname{PPAR} \alpha$ and $\operatorname{PPAR} \gamma$ (Figures 5 and 6), it is very likely
that the observed effect on Angptl4 expression is mediated though $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$. This is strongly supported by our observation that the inhibition of Angptl4 expression by 37 was dependent on the presence of wild-type PPAR $\beta / \delta$ alleles (Figure 7B).

Effect on Corepressor Recruitment to ChromatinBound PPAR $\boldsymbol{\beta} / \boldsymbol{\delta}$. To investigate the effect of 37 on the assembly of chromatin-associated corepressor complexes, we performed chromatin immune precipitation (ChIP) analyses of HDAC3 recruitment to the ANGPTL4 gene in WPMY-1 human myofibroblasts. As can be seen in Figure 8A, 37 induced an enhanced recruitment of HDAC3 compared to solvent-treated cells (DMSO). The specificity of the ChIP assay was shown by the lack of antibody binding to an irrelevant region of the PDK4 gene (Figure 8B) and by the lack of any detectable effect on HDAC3 binding (Figure 8A) of reference compound 41, which is a pure PPAR $\beta / \delta$ antagonist and therefore unable to enhance corepressor recruitment. ${ }^{17}$ The data in Figure 8A also show that GSK0660 and 37 have similar effects, which do not correlate with the higher potency of 37 to repress ANGPTL4 transcription (Figure 7A). We attribute this to the possibility that other corepressors are instrumental in 37-mediated repression, as suggested by the multitude of coregulators interacting with repressive PPAR complexes.

Pharmacokinetics in Mice. Finally, to determine the potential suitability of 29 and 37 for in vivo applications, pharmacokinetic studies were carried out in mice. 29 and 37 were administered intravenously ( $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) and orally ( $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ),


Figure 6. Effects on the agonist-induced transcriptional activity of LexA-PPAR $\alpha$ (A), LexA-PPAR $\beta / \delta$ (B), and LexA-PPAR $\gamma$ (C). NIH3T3 cells were transiently transfected with a luciferase reporter plasmid containing multiple LexA binding sites. Four hours posttransfection, the cells were treated with the indicated inhibitory ligands $(1 \mu \mathrm{M})$ for 48 h , followed by 300 nM of the PPAR $\alpha$ agonist GW7647, $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of the $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$ agonist L165,041, or 300 nM of the PPAR $\gamma$ agonist GW1929 or agonist solvent. GSK0660 $(1 \mu \mathrm{M})$ is included for comparison. Induction values represent luciferase activities of agonisttreated cells relative to cells treated with agonist solvent. Statistical analysis was performed as in Figure 4.
blood samples were analyzed 10 min to 12 h post-treatment by HPLC-MS (Figure 9), and basic pharmacokinetic parameters were determined. After intravenous administration of 37 , a plasma half-life of 76 min was measured, the mean clearance (CL) was $121 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg}$, and the volume of distribution at steady state (Vss) $12.5 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{kg}$. Oral administration yielded a good exposure with an AUCinf of $8239 \mathrm{~min} \cdot \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ and a peak plasma level $\left(C_{\max }\right)$ of $94 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}(207 \mathrm{nM})$, which is clearly within the concentration range of maximal activity determined in vitro $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=\right.$ 23 nM ; Figure 4 A ) or in cell culture $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=6.5 \mathrm{nM}\right.$ for C 2 C 12 cells; Figure 7A). Furthermore, half-life ( 634 min ) and bioavailability ( $72 \%$ ) were in the desired range. This pharmacokinetic data set suggests that 37 is suitable for in vivo applications in mice, including its peroral administration. In contrast, despite an acceptable plasma half-life after intravenous injection of 76 min


Figure 7. Impact on expression of the endogenous $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$ target gene Angptl4. (A) C2C12 mouse myoblasts were treated for 24 h with 1, 29, and 37 at the indicated concentration, and RNA was analyzed by RT-qPCR. GSK0660 is included for comparison. (B) Dependence on $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$. Macrophages from Ppard wild-type (WT) and null (KO) mice were treated with the agonist L165,041 ( 500 nM ), $37(1 \mu \mathrm{M})$, GSK0660 $(1 \mu \mathrm{M})$, or with solvent only (DMSO) for 6 h , and the expression of Angptl4 was determined by RT-qPCR. Statistical analysis was performed as in Figure 4.
$(\mathrm{CL}=176 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg} ; \mathrm{Vss}=6.2 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{kg}), 29$ was detectable in the blood at very low levels ( $\leq 6 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) and for a short time following oral application ( $\leq 30 \mathrm{~min}$ ), indicating a lack of bioavailability.

## CONCLUSIONS

By screening a chemical compound library, we identified ( $Z$ )-3-[4-(dimethylamino)phenyl]-2-phenyloacrylnitrile (1) as an inhibitory $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$ ligand. A comprehensive $\operatorname{SAR}$ study revealed two modifications, ortho-halogenation and introduction of an N-4methylpiperazine moiety, that greatly improved the binding affinity for $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$ and the efficiency of corepressors. The combination of these two critical modifications led to the discovery of ( $Z$ )-2-(2-bromophenyl)-3-\{[4-(1-methyl-piperazine)amino]phenyl\}acrylonitrile (37), which is the most potent inverse agonist for $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$ known to date. 37 is PPAR-subtype selective and inhibits both agonist-induced and basal level PPRE-dependent transcription in cells. Most importantly, 37 has good oral pharmacokinetic properties, making it the first bioavailable PPAR $\beta /$ $\delta$-selective inverse agonist described to date. 37 therefore represents a useful novel tool to investigate the biological and pathophysiological functions of $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$ and to clarify its potential as a target for drug development.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Ligands. \{2-Methyl-4-[(\{4-methyl-2-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-1,3-thiazol-5-yl\}methyl)sulfanyl]phenoxy\}acetic acid (GW501516) was


Figure 8. Corepressor binding to $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$. The impact of 37 on recruitment of HDAC3 to the ANGPTL4 promoter in WPMY-1 myofibroblasts was determined by ChIP. Compound 41 does not induce corepressor recruitment ${ }^{17}$ and was used as a negative control. Cells were treated with the indicated compounds $(1 \mu \mathrm{M})$ for 30 min . ChIP was carried out using antibodies against HDAC3 or a nonspecific rabbit IgG pool (negative control). DNA was amplified with primers encompassing the ANGPTL4 PPREs (A) or a control region (B). Relative amounts of amplified DNA in immunoprecipitates were calculated by comparison with $1 \%$ of input DNA. Results are expressed as \% input. Statistical analysis was performed as in Figure 4.


Figure 9. Pharmacokinetics in mice. 29 and 37 were administered either intravenously at a dose of $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (A) or orally at $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (B), and blood samples were analyzed by HPLC-MS/MS at the indicated time points post-treatment. Results represent averages of biological triplicates ( $\pm$ SD). Both compounds were undetectable at 24 h .
purchased from Axxora (Lörrach, Germany), N -(2-benzoylphenyl)- O -[2-(methyl-2-pyridinylamino)ethyl]-L-tyrosine hydrochloride (GW1929)
and 4-[3-(2-propyl-3-hydroxy-4-acetyl)phenoxy] propyloxyphenoxyacetic acid (L165,041) from Biozol (Eching, Germany), and 2-(4-\{2-[4-cyclohexylbutyl(cyclohexylcarbamoyl)amino]ethyl\}phenyl)sulfanyl-2-methylpropanoic acid (GW7647) from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). Synthesis of GSK0660 and compound 41, 3-\{N-[4-(tert-butylamino)-2-methoxyphenyl]sulfamoyl\}-
thiophene-2-carboxylate (PT-S58), has been reported previously. ${ }^{19}$
Chemistry. Reagents and solvents that are commercially available were used without further purification. Thin layer chromatography was performed on precoated plates silica gel 60 F254, Merck. Flash column chromatography was performed on prepacked flash chromatography columns (PF 30-SIHP-JP/12G) purchased from Interchim and using a Büchi separation system. Cyclohexane was purchased in pa quality from Grüssing and distilled prior to use, and iso-hexane was purchased in technical quality and distilled prior to use.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Jeol ECX-400 or on a Jeol ECA-500 spectrometer. Chemical shifts $(\delta)$ are given in ppm with the residual solvent signal used as reference $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \mathrm{s}, 7.26\right.$ ppm $\left[{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right]$ and $\mathrm{t}, 77.1 \mathrm{ppm}\left[{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right]$; DMSO- $d_{6}$ : quint, $2.50 \mathrm{ppm}\left[{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right]$ and septet, $\left.40.1 \mathrm{ppm}\left[{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right]\right)$. Unless otherwise noted, spectra with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ as solvent were recorded at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while spectra with DMSO- $d_{6}$ as solvent were recorded at $30.0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Peak patterns were described as folows: s (singlet), d (doublet), dd (double doublet), ddd (doublet of doublet of doublet), t (triplet), m (multiplet), sm (symmetric multiplet), bs (broad singlet), psd (pseudo doublet). Mass spectra were recorded on a double-focusing sector field spectrometer type 70-70H (Vacuum Generators) or on a double-focusing sector field spectrometer type AutoSpec (Micromass). Elemental combustion analyses were performed on a Vario MICRO cube (Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Hanau, Germany). Melting points were determined using a melting point meter KSP1N (A. Krüss Optronic GmbH, Hamburg, Germany) and are uncorrected.

All tested compounds were at least $95 \%$ pure as a single isomer, determined by NMR and combustion analysis.

Procedure A: To a solution of the respective phenylacetonitrile ( 1 equiv) and the corresponding benzaldehyde ( 1 equiv) in methanol ( 0.6 M ) was added potassium hydroxide, and the reaction mixture was stirred at RT until TLC indicated full conversion of the starting material. The precipitate was collected, washed with water and hexane, and dried in vacuo.

Procedure B: To a solution of the respective phenylacetonitrile ( 1 equiv) and the corresponding benzaldehyde ( 1 equiv) in methanol ( 0.6 M ) was added pyrrolidine, and the reaction mixture was stirred until TLC indicated full conversion of the starting material. The precipitate was collected, washed with water and hexane, and dried in vacuo.

Procedure C: (Z)-3-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-phenylacrylonitrile (1 equiv, prepared following procedure A ) was dissolved in dry toluene $(0.7 \mathrm{M})$ under argon atmosphere. ( $\pm$ )-BINAP ( 0.075 equiv), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(0.05$ equiv), sodium tert-butoxide ( 1.5 equiv), and the corresponding amine ( 2 equiv) were added, and the suspension was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until thin layer chromatography indicated full conversion of the starting material. The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM, filtered through a pad of Celite, absorbed on silica gel, and purified by flash chromatography.
(Z)-3-\{4-[(Dimethylamino)methyl]phenyl\}-2-phenylacrylonitrile Hydrochloride (14). To a solution of 4-[(dimethylamino)methyl]benzaldehyde ( $105 \mathrm{mg}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and phenylacetonitrile $(105 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.90 mmol ) in methanol ( 2 mL ) was added potassium hydroxide $(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at RT. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc, and the organic phase was washed with water, saturated potassium hydrogencarbonate solution and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The free base was obtained by flash chromatography (hexane/ EtOAc, gradient from 0 to $50 \%$ in 15 min ) and was afterward converted to the hydrochloride salt $14(120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 45 \%)$ by precipitation from EtOAc with $\mathrm{HCl}(5-6 \mathrm{M}$ in $i-\mathrm{PrOH})$; mp above decomposition temperature. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 11.04(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{psd}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-$ $7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.42(\mathrm{sm}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 142.6,135.2,134.1,133.3,132.1,130.1,129.9$, 129.8, 126.4, 118.3, 111.9, 59.4, 42.1. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$
$[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$262.146999; found 262.145737. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{ClN}_{2}$ : C, 72.35 ; H, 6.41; N, 9.37. Found: C, 71.83; H, 6.52; N, 9.21.
(Z)-2-Phenyl-3-[4-(piperidin-1-yl)phenyl]acrylonitrile (16). According to procedure B, employment of 4-(piperidin-1-yl)benzaldehyde $(492 \mathrm{mg}, 2.60 \mathrm{mmol})$, benzyl cyanide ( $305 \mathrm{mg}, 2.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and pyrrolidine ( $185 \mathrm{mg}, 2.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave rise to 16 as a yellow solid ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \%$ ); mp $128{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.84$ (psd, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.30$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{psd}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.37-3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.60$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 152.8,142.4,135.5,131.4,129.0,128.3$, 125.6, 123.2, 119.4, 114.5, 105.6, 48.9, 25.5, 24.5. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$288.162649; found 288.164001. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ : C, 83.30; H, 6.99; N, 9.71. Found: C, 83.23; H, 7.14; N, 9.81.
(Z)-3-[4-(Cyclohexylamino)phenyl]-2-phenylacrylonitrile (18). According to procedure C , utilization of ( $Z$ )-3-(4-bromophenyl)-2phenylacrylonitrile ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ( $\pm$ )-BINAP ( $32.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.053$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(32.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.035 \mathrm{mmol})$, sodium tert-butoxide $(102 \mathrm{mg}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol})$, and cyclohexylamine $(140 \mathrm{mg}, 1.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ yielded, after purification by flash chromatography (iso-hexane/EtOAc, gradient from 0 to $25 \%$ in 12 min ), 18 as a yellow solid ( $94 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31$ mmol, 44\%); mp $122{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.74$ (psd, $J=8.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-$ $7.28(\mathrm{sm}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{psd}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.33-3.23 ( $\mathrm{sm}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.93-1.86 ( $\mathrm{sm}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.65(\mathrm{sm}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-$ $1.53(\mathrm{sm}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.27(\mathrm{sm}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.21-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 149.5,142.8,135.7,131.7,129.0,128.1,125.6,122.3,119.6$, 112.6, 104.5, 51.4, 33.3, 25.8, 25.0. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ $[M]^{+}$302.178299; found 302.178004. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ : C, 83.40; H, 7.33; N, 9.26. Found: C, 83.27; H, 7.26; N, 9.10.
(Z)-2-Phenyl-3-[4-(phenylamino)phenyl]acrylonitrile (19). Following procedure $C$, usage of ( $Z$ )-3-(4-bromophenyl)-2-phenylacrylonitrile ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ( $\pm$ )-BINAP ( $32.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.053 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(32.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.035 \mathrm{mmol})$, sodium tert-butoxide $(102 \mathrm{mg}, 1.06$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and aniline ( $131 \mathrm{mg}, 1.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) yielded, after purification by flash chromatography (iso-hexane/EtOAc, gradient from 0 to $25 \%$ in $12 \mathrm{~min}), 19$ as a yellow solid ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 53 \%$ ); mp $162^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85(\mathrm{psd}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.67-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.45-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-$ $7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 146.1, 142.1, 141.0, 135.2, 131.4, 129.6, 129.2, 128.6, 125.8, 125.5, 123.0, 120.2, 119.1, 115.7, 107.0. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$296.131349; found 296.129489. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ : C, 85.11; H, 5.44; N, 9.45. Found: C, 84.78; H, 5.66; N, 9.19.
(Z)-3-[4-(4-Methylpiperidin-1-yl)phenyl]-2-phenylacrylonitrile (20). According to procedure C, utilization of (Z)-3-(4-bromophenyl)-2-phenylacrylonitrile $(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}),( \pm)$-BINAP $(32.9 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.053 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(32.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.035 \mathrm{mmol})$, sodium tert-butoxide $(102 \mathrm{mg}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 4-methylpiperidine $(140 \mathrm{mg}, 1.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ rendered, after purification by flash chromatography (iso-hexane/DCM, $5: 2), 20$ as a yellow solid ( $194 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}, 91 \%$ ); mp $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.83$ (psd, $\left.J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-7.63$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.31(\mathrm{sm}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{psd}, J=9.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.85(\mathrm{sm}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.76(\mathrm{sm}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.62(\mathrm{sm}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.50(\mathrm{sm}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21-1.08(\mathrm{sm}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 152.6,142.4,135.5,131.3,129.0,128.3$, 125.6, 123.2, 119.4, 114.5, 105.6, 48.2, 33.7, 31.0, 22.0. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2}[\mathrm{M}]^{+} 302.178299$; found 302.178744. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ : C, 83.40; H, 7.33; N, 9.26. Found: C, 83.20; H, 7.30; N, 8.81 .
(Z)-2-Phenyl-3-[4-(piperazin-1-yl)phenyl]acrylonitrile (22). (Z)-3-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-phenylacrylonitrile ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dry toluene $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ under an argon atmosphere. Tri-tertbutylphosphine $(14.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.070 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(16.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.018$ mmol ), sodium tert-butoxide ( $101 \mathrm{mg}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and piperazine $(182 \mathrm{mg}, 2.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added, and the suspension was stirred at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM, filtered through a pad of Celite, absorbed on silica gel, and purified by flash chromatography (DCM/methanol, 50:1), giving rise to 22 as a yellow wax ( $53.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 52 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.84$ (psd, $J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
7.37-7.31 ( sm, 1H), $6.99(\mathrm{psd}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.23-3.19(\mathrm{sm}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 2.81-2.77 (sm, 4H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 152.8,142.2,135.3,131.2$, 129.0, 128.4, 125.7, 124.1, 119.1, 114.5, 106.5, 48.8, 45.9. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$289.157898; found 289.155945.
(Z)-3-[4-(4-Methylpiperazin-1-yl)phenyl]-2-phenylacrylonitrile (23). According to procedure B, employment of 4-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)benzaldehyde ( $265 \mathrm{mg}, 1.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzyl cyanide $(152 \mathrm{mg}$, 1.30 mmol ), and pyrrolidine ( $92 \mathrm{mg}, 1.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) furnished 23 as a yellow solid ( $268 \mathrm{mg}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol}, 68 \%$ ); mp $143{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 7.84(\mathrm{psd}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.64$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.32(\mathrm{sm}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{psd}, J=$ $9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.19$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 152.7,143.2,135.2,131.5,129.6$, 128.8, 125.7, 123.5, 119.5, 114.5, 104.7, 54.9, 47.2, 46.3. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3}[M]^{+}$303.173548; found 303.171852. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ : C, 79.17; H, 6.98; N, 13.85. Found: C, 78.95 ; H, 7.01; N, 13.86 .
(Z)-2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3-[4-(dimethylamino)phenyl]acrylonitrile (27). According to procedure A, usage of 4-(dimethylamino)benzaldehyde ( $351 \mathrm{mg}, 2.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-(4-chlorophenyl)acetonitrile ( $357 \mathrm{mg}, 2.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and potassium hydroxide ( $132 \mathrm{mg}, 2.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) furnished 27 as a yellow solid ( $326 \mathrm{mg}, 1.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 49 \%$ ); mp $193{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85$ (psd, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{psd}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.74$ (psd, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 152.0,142.9$, 134.2, 133.8, 131.5, 129.1, 126.7, 121.4, 119.3, 111.7, 103.2, 40.2. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{ClN}_{2}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$282.092376; found 282.093166. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{ClN}_{2}$ : C, 72.21; H, 5.35; N, 9.91. Found: C, 72.06; H, 5.37; N, 9.85 .
(Z)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-3-[4-(dimethylamino)phenyl]acrylonitrile (28). According to procedure A, employment of 4-(dimethylamino)benzaldehyde ( $585 \mathrm{mg}, 3.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-(3-chlorophenyl)acetonitrile ( $595 \mathrm{mg}, 3.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and potassium hydroxide ( $220 \mathrm{mg}, 3.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave rise to 28 as a yellow solid ( $710 \mathrm{mg}, 2.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 49 \%$ ); mp $132{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85(\mathrm{psd}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{sm}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26$ $(\mathrm{sm}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{psd}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 152.0,143.6,137.6,135.0,131.7,130.2,127.9,125.4,123.7,121.2$, 119.2, 111.7, 102.8, 40.1. MS (EI) $m / z(\%) 282.1$ (100) [M] ${ }^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{ClN}_{2}$ : C, 72.21; H, 5.35; N, 9.91. Found: C, 72.35 ; H, 5.51; N, 9.82.
(Z)-2-(2-Chlorophenyl)-3-[4-(dimethylamino)phenyl]acrylonitrile (29). Following procedure B, usage of 4-(dimethylamino)benzaldehyde ( $351 \mathrm{mg}, 2.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-(2-chlorophenyl)acetonitrile ( $357 \mathrm{mg}, 2.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and pyrrolidine ( $167 \mathrm{mg}, 2.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ furnished 29 as a yellow solid ( $326 \mathrm{mg}, 1.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 49 \%$ ); $\mathrm{mp} 99^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 7.85(\mathrm{psd}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{psd}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 152.0,148.4,135.6,133.2,131.5,131.0,130.4,129.7,127.4$, 121.2, 119.0, 111.7, 101.8, 40.2. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{ClN}_{2}$ $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$282.092376; found 282.094431. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{ClN}_{2}$ : C, 72.21 ; H, 5.35; N, 9.91. Found: C, 72.43; H, 5.53; N, 10.00 .
(Z)-3-[4-(Dimethylamino)phenyl]-2-(2-iodophenyl)acrylonitrile (30). To a solution of 4-dimethylaminobenzaldehyde $(161 \mathrm{mg}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2-(2-iodophenyl)acetonitrile ( $263 \mathrm{mg}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added pyrrolidine $(145 \mathrm{mg}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc , and the organic phase was washed with water, saturated potassium hydrogencarbonate solution, and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (cyclohexane $/ E t O A c$, gradient from 0 to $30 \%$ in 12 min ) furnished 30 as a yellow solid ( $185 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 46 \%$ ); mp $134{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 7.94-7.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{psd}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.04 (ddd, $J=7.7,6.6,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{psd}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), $3.06(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 152.1,148.5,141.1,140.1$, 131.4, 130.5, 129.9, 128.7, 121.0, 118.8, 111.7, 106.6, 98.7, 40.2. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{IN}_{2}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$374.028001; found 374.024834. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{IN}_{2}$ : C, 54.56 ; H, 4.04; N, 7.49. Found: C, 54.82; H, 4.16; N, 7.45.
(Z)-2-(2-Bromophenyl)-3-[4-(dimethylamino)phenyl]acrylonitrile (31). A solution of 2-bromophenylacetonitrile ( $376 \mathrm{mg}, 1.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ )
and 4-dimethylaminobenzaldehyde $(288 \mathrm{mg}, 1.93 \mathrm{mmol})$ in morpholine ( 2 mL ) was stirred for 12 h at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was absorbed onto silica, and flash chromatography (iso-hexane/EtOAc/ DCM, 18:1:1) gave rise to 31 as yellow solid ( $184 \mathrm{mg}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol}$, $29 \%$ ); mp $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85(\mathrm{psd}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35$ (td, $J=7.6,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21$ (ddd, $J=7.6,1.8,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.72(\mathrm{psd}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 152.1$, 148.4, 137.5, 133.6, 131.4, 131.2, 129.8, 127.9, 123.2, 121.1, 118.9, 111.7, 103.5, 40.2. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrN}_{2}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$ 326.041860; found 326.042488. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrN}_{2}$ : C, 62.40; H, 4.62; N, 8.56. Found: C, 62.34; H, 4.79; N, 8.44.
(Z)-3-[4-(Dimethylamino)phenyl]-2-(2-methoxyphenyl)acrylonitrile (32). To a solution of 4-dimethylaminobenzaldehyd ( 304 mg , 2.04 mmol ) and 2-(2-methoxyphenyl)acetonitrile ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 2.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( 4 mL ) was added pyrrolidine ( $145 \mathrm{mg}, 2.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the reaction mixture was stirred for 48 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc, and the organic phase was washed with water, saturated potassium hydrogencarbonate solution, and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. 32 was obtained after flash chromatography (cyclohexane/EtOAc/DCM, 8:1:1) as a yellow solid ( $111 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \%$ ); mp $97{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.84$ (psd, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32$ (ddd, $J=8.2,7.4$, $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.2,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{psd}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 157.0, 151.6, 146.5, 131.2, 129.9, 129.7, 125.9, 122.1, 121.0, 119.6, 111.6, 111.5, 102.0, 55.9, 40.2. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$278.141913; found 278.140550. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 77.67$; H, 6.52; N, 10.06. Found: C, $77.25 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.61$; N, 9.74.
(Z)-3-[4-(Dimethylamino)phenyl]-2-(2-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)acrylonitrile (33). A solution of 2-(2-trifluoromethylphenyl)acetonitrile ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-dimethylaminobenzaldehyde $(161 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ in morpholine $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 24 h at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and subsequently absorbed onto silica gel. Flash chromatography (iso-hexane/ EtOAc, 5:1) gave rise to 33 as a yellow solid ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \%$ ); mp $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.82(\mathrm{psd}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.72(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{psd}, J=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 152.1,148.3,147.1,135.7$, 132.2, 132.0, 131.3, 129.3, 128.7, $124.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=274.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 121.0,119.2$, 111.6, 100.7, 40.0. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$316.118733; found 316.117731. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ : C, 68.35; H, 4.78; N, 8.86. Found: C, 68.58; H, 5.18; N, 8.75.
(Z)-3-[4-(Dimethylamino)phenyl]-2-(2-fluorophenyl)acrylonitrile (34). Following procedure B, usage of 4-(dimethylamino)benzaldehyde ( $442 \mathrm{mg}, 2.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-(2-fluorophenyl)acetonitrile ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 2.96$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and pyrrolidine ( $463 \mathrm{mg}, 6.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave rise to 34 as a yellow solid ( $583 \mathrm{mg}, 2.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 74 \%$ ); mp $106{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.86(\mathrm{psd}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{td}, J=7.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.26(\mathrm{sm}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12$ (ddd, $J=11.2,8.2,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{psd}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 159.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=250.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 152.0,147.4(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.6,129.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $124.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 124.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 121.5,119.3,116.5$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=23.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 111.6,98.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 40.1$. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{FN}_{2}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$266.121927; found 266.123324. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{FN}_{2}$ : C, 76.67 ; H, 5.68; N, 10.52. Found: C, 76.57; H, 5.73; $\mathrm{N}, 10.50$.
(Z)-2-(2-Bromophenyl)-3-[4-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)phenyl]acrylonitrile Dihydrochloride (37). To a solution of 2-(2-bromophenyl)acetonitrile ( $480 \mathrm{mg}, 2.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-(4-methylpiperazino)benzaldehyde ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( 4 mL ) was added pyrrolidine $(174 \mathrm{mg}$, 2.45 mmol ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 48 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and subsequently absorbed onto silica gel. The free base was obtained by flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 49: 1$ ) and was afterward converted to the dihydrochloride salt $37(806 \mathrm{mg}, 1.93 \mathrm{mmol}, 79 \%$ yield) by precipitation from EtOAc with $\mathrm{HCl}(5-6 \mathrm{M}$ in $i \mathrm{PrOH})$; mp above decomposition temperature. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $11.46(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.96(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{psd}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.45(\mathrm{sm}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
7.37-7.33 ( $\mathrm{sm}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{psd}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-$ $3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.18-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 151.7,148.6,136.9,133.7,132.1,131.4$, 131.3, 129.1, 124.2, 122.8, 118.5, 115.3, 105.3, 52.1, 44.6, 42.4. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{BrN}_{3}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$381.084059; found 381.087401. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{BrCl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ : C, 52.77; H, 4.87; N, 9.23. Found: C, 52.68; H, 4.97; N, 9.18.

Time-Resolved Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer (TR-FRET) Assays in Vitro. Ligand binding was determined by TR-FRET in vitro ${ }^{25}$ using the Lanthascreen TR-FRET PPAR $\beta / \delta$ competitive binding assay as described. ${ }^{26,27}$ The interaction of the $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$ LBD with a fluorescein-labeled corepressor peptide derived from the silencing mediator for retinoid and thyroid hormone receptors interaction domain 2 (SMRT-ID2) was determined using the Lanthascreen TR-FRET $\operatorname{PPAR} \beta / \delta$ coregulator assay. ${ }^{27}$ Assays were carried out and evaluated as described.

Chemical Compound Library Screening. The Open Chemical Repository of the NCI/NIH Developmental Therapeutics Program consisting of the Approved Oncology Drugs Set III (97 compounds), the Diversity Set III (1597 compounds), the Mechanistic Set (879 compounds), and the Natural Product Set II ( 120 compounds) was initially screened for compounds binding to the PPAR $\beta / \delta$ LBD using the competitive TR-FRET assay described above. Compounds showing significant competition $(n=129)$ were subsequently validated in triplicates using TR-FRET-based coactivator and corepressor peptide recruitment assays (see above). ${ }^{27}$

Cell Culture. WPMY-1 human myofibroblasts ${ }^{28}$ (ATCC, CRL-2854), C2C12 murine myoblasts ${ }^{29}$ (kindly provided by Dr. Thomas Braun, Bad Nauheim, Germany), and NIH3T3 cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum, $100 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$ penicillin, and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ streptomycin in a humidified incubator at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$.

Transcription, Gene Expression, and Chromatin Analyses. Luciferase reporter assays were performed and evaluated as reported previously. LexA-PPAR expression plasmids and the 7 L-TATAi luciferase reporter construct have been described elsewhere. ${ }^{30,31}$ RT-qPCR analyses of endogenous Angptl4 expression and statistical analyses were carried out as described, ${ }^{17}$ using $L 27$ as the normalizer. ChIP analysis was performed as reported elsewhere. ${ }^{24,32}$

Pharmacokinetics in Mice. In vivo pharmacokinetic studies were performed by Cerep, Redmond, WA. Briefly, compounds were formulated in DMSO/Solutol HS 15/PBS, pH 7.4 (5/5/90, v/v/v) and administered iv $(1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ and po $(5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ to male CD-1 mice by tail vein injection and gastric gavage, respectively. Blood samples were taken at eight time points post injection by parallel sampling (three mice each; see Figure 9 for details). Plasma samples were processed by acetonitrile precipitation and analyzed by HPLS-MS/MS following standard procedures.
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